

Risk Limiting Audit Manual

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ELECTION

Contents

1. Risk-limiting Audit	4
1.1 Overview	4
1.1.1 Definition of an RLA	4
1.1.2 History of RLAs in Virginia	4
2. RLA Basics	5
2.1 Methods	5
2.2 RLA Selection and Notification Process	5
2.2.1 Selecting a Contest for Statutorily Mandated RLAs	6
2.2.2 SBE Requested RLAs	7
2.2.3 Applying for an RLA of a Local Contest	7
2.3 SBE Administrative Tasks	12
2.3.1 Setting the Risk Limit	12
2.3.2 Generating the Random Seed Number	12
3. RLA Preparation	13
3.1 Overview	13
3.2 Determining the Location of the RLA	13
3.3 Scheduling the Public Meeting	13
3.4 Recruiting RLA Audit Review Boards	15
3.5 Reviewing Ballot Accounting and Storage Practices	15
3.6 Suggested Supplies	16
4. The Ballot-Polling Method of the Risk-Limiting Audit	17
4.1 Overview	17
4.2 Submitting a Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a Post-Election Audit	17
4.3 Creating a Ballot Manifest	17
4.4 Uploading a Ballot Manifest	20
4.5 The Public Meeting	23
4.5.1 Ballot Retrieval Process	23
4.5.2 Retrieving and Tallying Ballots	25
4.6 Ballot Entry into the RLA Software	28
4.7 Completion of the Ballot-Polling RLA	31
5. The Batch-comparison Method of the Risk-Limiting Audit	33

5.1 Submitting a Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a Post-Election Audit	33
5.2 Create the RLA Documents	33
5.2.1 Creating a Ballot Manifest	33
5.2.2 Creating a Candidate Totals by Batch File	34
5.3 Uploading the Ballot Manifest and Candidate Totals by Batch files	34
5.4 Retrieving and Tallying	37
5.4.1 Methods for Retrieving Ballots	39
5.5 Batch Tally Entry into Arlo	40
5.6 Completion of a Batch-comparison RLA	44
6. Results	45
7. APPENDIX	46
7.1 General Timeline for a Risk-Limiting Audit after a General Election	46

1. Risk-limiting Audit

DECLUBED FORMS	ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION
REQUIRED FORMS	ADDITIONAL RESOURCES
SBE-671.2(D) Form Request for Risk-Limiting Audit	Virginia's Guide to Handcounting Ballots
Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a	<u>Chain of Custody Template</u>
Post-Election Audit	RLA on FormsWarehouse
REFERENCE	REGULATIONS
RLA Scale Method Video	1VAC20-60-50. Overfull optical scan ballot
RLA K Cut Method Video	container.
Random Seed Number Generation Video	1VAC20-60-80. Request for a risk-limiting audit for
RLA Reports	a contested race within a jurisdiction.

1.1 Overview

§24.2-671.2 of the Code of Virginia requires that Risk-limiting audits (RLAs) be performed by the electoral board (EB) and general registrars (GR) under the supervision of ELECT using procedures prescribed by the State Board of Elections (SBE) outlined in this document. This document describes the SBE procedures and includes guidance on the following:

- Methods for conducting the RLA.
- Randomly selecting contested races and determining the risk limit.
- Preparing for an RLA, including guidelines for organizing ballots, selecting venues, and securing appropriate materials by EBs and GRs.
- Ballot custody, accounting, security, and written record retention procedures that ensure a complete and accurate collection of cast ballots from which samples are drawn.
- Hand counting of the audited ballots.

Ensuring transparency and understanding of the process by participants and the public, including guidelines for direct observation by members of the public, representatives of the candidates involved in the RLA, and representatives of the political parties.

1.1.1 Definition of an RLA

An RLA is a type of post-election audit that utilizes statistical methods and a manual review of paper ballots to confirm that the electronic voting system accurately reported the correct outcome of an election. RLAs analyze a random sample of hand-counted ballots to confirm election results. If the margin of an election is wide, fewer votes are audited; if the margin is narrow, more ballots are audited until a large enough sample is reviewed to confirm the results of the contest.¹

1.1.2 History of RLAs in Virginia

RLAs provide strong statistical evidence that the declared winner of a contest received the most votes. In 2017, the Virginia General Assembly passed legislation that amended the Code of

¹ See National Conference of State Legislatures, Risk-Limiting Audits

Virginia to include RLAs of ballot scanner machines in use in the Commonwealth, and the changes went into effect on July 1, 2018. Following enactment, pilot RLAs were conducted throughout the Commonwealth, with the first statewide RLA occurring in 2022. A detailed account of all statutorily required RLAs may be found in reports located on ELECT's website. Every locality in the Commonwealth participated in a ballot-polling RLA of the 2024 U.S. Senate race, which is explained in greater detail in the 2024 November RLA Report.²

2. RLA Basics

2.1 Methods

Pursuant to §24.2-671.2(B), the State Board of Elections (SBE) is required to set the processes and procedures for each RLA performed.³ While there are three methods for conducting a risk-limiting audit, the State Board of Elections has approved two RLA methods for use in the Commonwealth of Virginia: *ballot polling* and *batch comparison methods*. Ballot-polling audits manually review a randomly selected, sufficiently large sample of ballots to determine if the overall outcome of an election contest was correctly reported. Batch-comparison audits manually review randomly selected physical batches of ballots, such as those cast in one precinct, and compare those results with corresponding machine counts.⁴ Although the ballot polling and batch comparison methods differ in their sampling methodology, both methods achieve the same goal of confirming that voting systems are accurately reporting election results. The SBE votes on the method for statutorily required RLAs by no later than September for each November General Election.

2.2 RLA Selection and Notification Process

Pursuant to § 24.2-671.2, RLAs must be conducted <u>before</u> a race has been certified.⁵ ELECT must ensure that an RLA is conducted for at least one randomly selected contest each year. The Code of Virginia provides the contests eligible for selection for an RLA depending on the election cycle, as outlined in §24.2-671.2(C) and illustrated below.⁶


² See ELECT, Risk-Limiting Audits.

³ See §24.2-671.2(B).

⁴ Id.

⁵ See §24.2-671.2.

⁶ Id.



The SBE may also choose to audit a race in any other year that ensures that each locality participates in a risk-limiting audit of an office within its jurisdiction at least once every five years <u>or</u> that the State Board finds appropriate. The SBE defines participation in an RLA as the uploading of a ballot manifest.

Additionally, §24.2-671.2(D) allows local electoral boards to *request* a risk-limiting audit of a contested race within the EB's jurisdiction. Using *SBE-671.2(D) Form: Request for Risk Limiting Audit*, a locality may submit its request to the SBE for approval.⁷ Examples of races wholly contained within a locality's jurisdiction *may* include but are not limited to: mayoral, school board, city council, clerk of court, treasurer, or sheriff. Localities that are partially included in a House of Delegates district, State Senate district, or U.S. House of Representatives district are not able to request to audit just their portions of those races. Section 2.2.3 of this manual details how to apply for an RLA.

2.2.1 Selecting a Contest for Statutorily Mandated RLAs

For those contests eligible for an RLA as designated by the year in §24.2-671.2(C), the SBE will only consider races with margins greater than 1%.⁸ All contests that meet that threshold will be placed in the selection pool and will be drawn at the SBE meeting held on the third Monday in November. There is no statutory requirement to audit a primary election.

⁷ See ELECT, SBE-671.2(D) Form

⁸ See Va. Admin. Code, 1VAC20-60-80.

For those contests eligible for an RLA in a given year under §24.2-671.2(C), the SBE Chair or designated representative will randomly draw at least one race(s) to audit from this pool. Before the random selection takes place, a member of ELECT staff will explain the selection method. Examples of random selection methods may include drawing a contest from a bowl or rolling dice to determine the audited contest and will be chosen at the discretion of the Commissioner. The selection will be held and recorded during a public meeting to ensure that the chosen race is completely random and observable by the public.

2.2.2 SBE Requested RLAs

Under §24.2-671.2(C)(4) of the Code of Virginia, the SBE has the right to require an RLA of any race to ensure that each locality participates in an RLA at least once every five years or that the SBE otherwise deems appropriate. If the SBE decides to audit a race pursuant to §24.2-671.2(C)(4) of the Code of Virginia, the SBE must approve such audit by a two-thirds majority vote. The approval of this contest takes place at an SBE meeting held two months before the June primary, and no later than the September before the November General Election. This will allow localities time to plan for the audit ahead of the start of early voting. The approval of such races will be contingent on the outcome of the race, as no race may be audited that has a margin of less than 1%. The SBE will request ELECT to add this item to the Board agenda before the SBE meeting where the vote will take place.

2.2.3 Applying for an RLA of a Local Contest

In consultation with their local general registrar, a local electoral board may request SBE approval for an RLA of a contested local race wholly contained within its jurisdiction. The SBE has promulgated both a regulation to guide EBs in selecting a race for an RLA as well as the SBE-671.2(D) Form that localities must use to request a race for an RLA. EBs may choose between utilizing the ballot polling or batch comparison method of conducting a Risk-Limiting Audit upon approval of the SBE.

An electoral board must cast a majority vote at a public canvass meeting to request an RLA for a particular contested local race, wholly contained within the jurisdiction of the electoral board.¹⁰ Further, an electoral board must sign and complete the current *SBE-671.2(D) Form* and identify the local race(s) subject to the requested RLA. All board members should sign and date the form. Once completed, the secretary of the electoral board must send the form to ELECT via the locality's Elections and Registration Specialist. These forms must be submitted to ELECT no later than the close of business the day before the publicly noticed RLA SBE meeting (the date will vary depending on the election cycle).

The SBE will grant an RLA request if the form is properly completed, all statutory requirements are met, and the request is submitted on time. Finally, the regulation specifies that, as needed,

⁹ See §24.2-641.2.

¹⁰ See Va. Admin. Code, 1VAC20-60-80.

the SBE will grant a two-week extension to an electoral board's local certification deadline under § 24.2-671 to accommodate the completion of the RLA.¹¹

2.2.3.1 Key Factors When Considering Applying for an RLA of Local Contest

When considering applying for an RLA of a race wholly contained within the jurisdiction of the electoral board, ELECT recommends considering the following:

2.2.3.1.1 METHOD CHOICE

The SBE gives EBs the option to choose between the use of <u>two RLA methods</u> for applications submitted pursuant to §24.2-671.2(D): ballot polling and batch comparison.

Ballot-polling typically requires the smallest number of ballots to produce strong evidence that a reported outcome was correct. This method has been used by the Commonwealth since RLAs were first required by statute. It is the easiest method for the public to observe the interpretation of ballots. A ballot-polling audit is like an exit poll, where a statistically significant number of voters are sampled to make inferences about the outcome of a race. In the case of ballot-polling RLAs, a statistically significant number of ballots are randomly sampled to test the accuracy of the voting system's counts in the election.

Batch-comparison is an audit where batches of ballots (e.g., all ballots cast in a precinct) are randomly selected, counted, and compared to test the accuracy of the voting system's count in the election. This method tends to use the most ballots of either method, causing a greater number of ballots to be reviewed than ballot-polling and may require more staff and time to complete the audit. Additionally, when Arlo, the RLA software, is set up for a batch-comparison audit it tends to select, although random, the precincts with more ballots. Therefore, localities should expect to review over a thousand ballots, if utilizing this method.

_

¹¹ See §24.2-671.

Batch Comparison

- Similar to a recount procedure
- Batches of ballots are audited
- More ballots reviewed
- Requires a ballot manifest and a candidate totals by batch document

Ballot Polling

llog

audited

• Similar to an exit

Individual ballot

- Based on statistics
- Random
- Hand counting
- sample
 - Less ballots reviewed
 - Only requires a No CVR ballot manifest



qiT

You can use the Arlo Planner Tool to create an estimate of the number of ballots or batches that may be required to conduct an RLA for your race. The Arlo Planner Tool can be found here: Arlo Planner Tool, by VotingWorks. This tool is available to the public and does not require a login to access.

2.2.3.1.1.1 An Example of Sample Sizes for Both Methods

In 2024, a batch comparison method RLA was performed for the U.S. Congressional District 1 race. Below ELECT shows the number of batches and ballots that were pulled in that RLA as well as the estimated number of ballots that would have been pulled for a ballot polling RLA of the same race, with the risk limit set to 10%, to provide a real-world example of the amount of work required for each method. This race had 478,906 ballots cast with a margin of 9.78% between the candidate with the most votes and the candidate with the second most votes.

Batch-comparison District 1	Ballot-Polling Estimate for District 1
19 batches with 137,627 ballots total	868 ballots



Note about performing both a ballot polling RLA and a batch comparison RLA

If your locality must perform both a ballot polling RLA and a batch comparison RLA, can you use the same ballot manifest for both? Yes, the ballot manifest for one may be used for the other. *However*, in this event, the batches listed in the ballot manifest <u>must</u> be the same as your precincts. For example, if 100 ballots were cast in Precinct 1, then Batch 1 would have 100 ballots. The candidate by batch file must match with the ballot manifest for a batch comparison method RLA. This is discussed in greater detail in 5.2.2 Creating a Candidate Total by Batch File. You may also choose to create two separate ballot manifests for greater ease in locating ballots.



Reminder

ELECT reminds localities that should an electoral board choose to apply for an RLA, the batches or ballots used to conduct the RLA will be randomly chosen by Arlo, no matter which RLA method, and will not be chosen by the applying EB.

2.2.3.1.2 TIME

Pursuant to §24.2-671.2 of the Code of Virginia, ELECT is required to ensure that RLAs of certain contests are conducted each year. The SBE will select those contests, approve applications from localities requesting an RLA, and any other RLAs ordered by the SBE during a meeting held on the third Monday in November. This creates a very tight timeline for the RLAs, which must be completed before certification and in spite of the Thanksgiving holiday. This means localities will have approximately 8 business days to complete the RLA process during a general election, i.e., 6 days in 2024, 6 days in 2025, 11 days in 2026, 11 days in 2027, and 6 days in 2028.

This timeline may be further compounded during a November General Election by choosing a different method than the SBE for an RLA of a local contest. For example, the SBE may choose to conduct an RLA using the ballot polling method in a jurisdiction. If an EB chooses to utilize a batch comparison method for a local race, then the EB would be running two different types of RLAs at the same time, which may take additional time to complete.

If an EB applies for an RLA of a primary, the timeline is even more compressed. For a June primary, an electoral board will have 3-4 business days from the time of approval of the RLA to the date for the SBE to declare a nominee. This timeline may also include the 4th of July, depending on the year. Given these time constraints, an RLA of a primary, while not prohibited by statute, is not recommended.



Reminder

As RLAs are a required step during the post-election period and will be performed after every general election, EB members should check their vacation schedule and expect to be available for the purposes of an RLA after the canvass has been completed and before the SBE certifies a general election.



FAQ: Does applying for a RLA of a local race allow a locality to opt out of other RLAs to meet their statutory requirements?

If a locality opts for an RLA of a race wholly contained within its jurisdiction, it is not exempted from the required yearly, randomly selected RLA or any contest that the SBE may randomly request to be audited.

2.2.3.1.3 STAFFING

As part of the RLA, EBs must recruit audit review boards (see section 3.4 for more information about audit review boards). An audit review board is a two-person team assigned to review ballots and record a hand tally of the results. The required number of audit review boards is based on the number of batches that are needed for an RLA. However, when a single batch contains a large number of ballots, additional audit review boards may be assigned to the batch to tally the ballots more effectively and efficiently. For example, a batch comparison method RLA must have batches that are the size of your precincts. Your locality may have only a few batches, but those batches may be large based on the number of ballots cast in a precinct.

Thus, more audit review boards may be needed to tally those ballots effectively and efficiently.

- On average, an audit review board can pull 20-25 ballots per hour utilizing the ballot polling method. If many ballot containers must be retrieved, this average decreases to about 15-20 ballots per hour. It may take longer to locate a specific ballot within a stack of ballots utilizing the ballot polling method, which accounts for the additional time.
- On average, an audit review board utilizing the batch comparison method could audit approximately 220 ballots per hour. Batch comparison audit review boards can work more quickly because they are not searching for specific, random ballots but instead working through all ballots in a "batch." That being said, the review board or boards have substantially more ballots to review. This may be further complicated when the Central Absentee Precinct (CAP) is chosen for audit, as not every ballot will contain the chosen race or if an audit review board must sort out ballots that do not contain the audited race.

2.2.3.1.4 COST

As noted above, audit review boards will need to be recruited to process the ballots or batches that will be hand counted. Each audit review board consists of two individuals; if more ballots

or batches are sampled, more people will need to be compensated to complete the RLA process on time. While §24.2-671.2 does not discuss the compensation of audit review board members, it is recommended that audit review board members be compensated similarly to officers of election.

2.3 SBF Administrative Tasks

In addition to the SBE's role in selecting and approving races for audit, the SBE plays a role in setting the risk limit, choosing the method of conducting the RLA, and generating the random seed number. These tasks are outlined below and performed at one of two SBE meetings held prior to the RLA.

2.3.1 Setting the Risk Limit

A *risk limit* is the maximum chance that the RLA will fail to correct an incorrectly reported outcome. For example, a 10% risk limit means that there is a 90% chance that the RLA will correct an incorrect outcome. To date, every RLA in Virginia has used a 10% risk limit.

The SBE will set the *risk limit* of any RLA following industry best practices and will announce the risk limit of each RLA at a public meeting held prior to the RLA. The approval of the risk limit takes place at an SBE meeting held no later than the September prior to the November General Election.

2.3.2 Generating the Random Seed Number

For each audited race, the RLA software uses a 20-digit random seed number to select ballots for retrieval. A random seed number specifies the starting point of a computer-generated random sequence of numbers. The 20-digit random seed number is created during an SBE meeting and is observable by the public.

To create this random number, the SBE Chair or designated representative(s) rolls twenty, tensided dice (numbered zero through nine), one time each. As each dice is rolled, the resulting number is recorded on a whiteboard. Any person may be designated by the SBE Chair to roll the dice; it may be done by one person or multiple people.

If there are applications approved by the SBE from electoral boards pursuant to Title 24.2-671.2(D), a new, additional 20-digit seed number will be generated via the process explained above.

The 20-digit number generated by this activity will be inputted into the RLA software by the *RLA Administrator* on ELECT's staff. Once this number is inputted, the auditing software will randomly select and generate a list of ballots to be retrieved based on the sample size. For an illustrated example of this process, please review the *Random Seed Number Generation* video

3. RLA Preparation

3.1 Overview

Due to the compressed timeline for RLAs, localities can take actions before Election Day to set themselves up for a successful RLA should they be chosen to audit a race, including:¹³

- Determining RLA location;
- Scheduling the public meeting;
- Recruiting Audit Review Boards;
- Reviewing ballot accounting and storage practices; and,
- Logging ballot manifest quantities produced from early voting and pre-processing.

3.2 Determining the Location of the RLA

A locality must consider a space for the RLA that can accommodate the public and that is ADA-compliant. The facility where an RLA takes place must also have an internet connection to download and print retrieval lists, placeholder pages, RLA board credentials, and labels.

If only a few ballots are required for an RLA, it may be possible to conduct the RLA at the courthouse. If more space is needed or if no workspace is available, the RLA may take place in another suitable location, such as: the GR's office, a library, a firehouse, a school, etc. Localities should reach out to their Clerk of Court to discuss the potential for an RLA well ahead of the general election to assure the availability of the election material required for audit and answer any questions or concerns the Clerk may have about the process. If selected for an RLA, it is important to work with local Clerks of Court to consult on the physical space in which to conduct the RLA.

3.3 Scheduling the Public Meeting

Pursuant to § 2.2-3707¹⁴ and §24.2-671.2(G), an RLA must be conducted as a public meeting. The EB and the GR shall conduct an RLA within their jurisdiction. Once the electoral board has selected a location for the RLA, it must provide the location to ELECT; ELECT will select the date and time for the RLA to begin. ELECT will publish the list of contested races along with the date, time, and location of the RLA as soon as practical.

13

¹² See ELECT, Random Seed Number Generation Video.

¹³ See the Appendix for an example of the timeline for the November General Election

¹⁴ See §2.2-3707.



Reminder

An RLA round cannot be completed and results known until all localities have submitted their results to Arlo. Therefore, it is imperative that localities start at the time set by ELECT to avoid delays, especially if additional rounds are necessary.

Local party chairpersons involved in the race being audited must also be notified of the date and time of the RLA by the general registrar. Candidates should also be notified when the local electoral board has requested an RLA of that race and the request was approved by the SBE.

Authorized representatives may be sent by parties and candidates to observe the RLA. As the RLA is a public meeting, authorized representatives are allowed to attend. Authorized representatives should be provided the same level of observance as they would during the Canvass. Local electoral boards should set up the location of the RLA to allow the public and authorized representatives the ability to observe the RLA.

Additionally, at least one member of the electoral board representing each party, one Republican and one Democrat, must participate in and be present for the duration of the RLA. If the electoral board is unable to have one Republican or one Democrat present for the duration of the RLA, the local electoral board should seek the appointment of a temporary electoral board member of the missing political party, pursuant to §24.2-106. For example, if there is only one Democrat on the electoral board and that person will be unavailable for the RLA, then the electoral board should notify ELECT and petition the court for the appointment of a temporary electoral board member to represent the Democratic party during the duration of the RLA.

In addition to electoral board members and the GR, meeting attendees may include:

- Clerk of Court
- Audit Board members;
- General public;
- Representatives from political parties;
- Candidates:
- Campaign staff; and,
- Local party chairs.

Localities should plan for at least one full day to conduct the RLA. For RLAs required by the Code of Virginia, ELECT will set the time and date of the RLA; the public meeting set by the EB to

¹⁵ See §24.2-671. See also ELECT, GREB Chapter 14. See also ELECT, Do's and Don'ts for Campaigners and Authorized Representatives.

¹⁶ See §24.2-641.2.

¹⁷ See $\frac{524.2-106}{}$. See also $\frac{524.2-671.2(G)}{}$ (requiring at least one EB member from each party be present for the RLA).

perform the RLA must match the time and date of the RLA unless good cause can be shown as to why that is not possible. Since the sample is random, there is no way to predetermine the number of ballots a locality must retrieve.

For RLAs requested by a local EB, a date and time may be suggested by the local EB to ELECT; the suggestion must be communicated at the same time as the submission of the request for the RLA. ELECT will work with the local EB to determine if the date and time suggested are acceptable to ensure that the RLA is performed within the specified timeframe set by the Code of Virginia.

3.4 Recruiting RLA Audit Review Boards

An *Audit Review Board* is a two-person team that retrieves specified ballots, records the results of the ballot, and inputs the results into the ballot software. GRs and/or the electoral board determine the audit board(s). Audit board members can be: staff, officers of election, electoral board members, GRs, or representatives from each party and/or candidates. Audit boards do not have to declare a party affiliation. As a best practice, it is recommended that the audit board consist of one Democrat and one Republican to ensure balanced representation.

The number of *Audit Review Boards* is based on the number of ballot storage containers that must be opened and not on the number of ballots to be retrieved. The average numbers for both the ballot-polling and the batch-comparison method are provided below.

- Ballot-polling: On average, an audit board can pull 20-25 ballots per hour. If many ballot containers must be retrieved, the average decreases to about 15-20 ballots per hour.
- Batch-comparison: On average, an audit board could audit about 220 ballots per hour.

Since localities retrieve random ballots when utilizing the ballot-polling method, it can take audit boards longer to locate the appropriate ballot in a stack of ballots, which results in fewer ballots being retrieved per hour. Whereas in the batch-comparison method, every ballot in a stack is counted in a precinct. While this may be quicker than locating random ballots, more ballots need to be reviewed using the batch-comparison method.

3.5 Reviewing Ballot Accounting and Storage Practices

When preparing for an RLA, it is a good time to review ballot accounting practices, which include ballot tracking, ballot reconciliation, and chain of custody forms and procedures. Ballot accounting forms the basis of a ballot manifest by providing the number of ballots in an envelope/box/container (ballot manifests are explained in greater detail for ballot polling in section 4.3 Creating a Ballot Manifest and for batch comparison in section 5.2.1 Creating a Ballot Manifest.) It is imperative that the ballots remain organized and clearly marked within the contents of the box. It is important to consider both methods when storing your ballots,

having a storage plan for organizing ballots can save a lot of time when conducting an RLA.



1VAC20-60-50. Overfull optical scan ballot container.

If an optical scan reader is in danger of malfunctioning due to too many ballots, then it may be opened with the appropriate safeguards listed in 1VAC20-60-50. Whether an optical scan reader has become too full is based on the judgment of the general registrar or electoral board of the locality. If an optical scan reader is emptied for this reason, a new batch may be created for a ballot manifest.

ELECT has designed a sample chain of custody form to document the control, transfer, and disposition of ballots during an RLA. Localities may choose to utilize this form or design their own to suit their specific needs. This sample form can be found in FormsWarehouse under the RLA section.

3.6 Suggested Supplies

While not required, the following is a list of helpful supplies for a successful RLA:

- Table and chairs for each audit board;
- Bright colored paper;
- Bright-colored removable labels;
- Tally sheets;
- Pens;
- Rubber fingers;
- Device to open containers;
- Materials to reseal containers, if necessary;
- Device with Wi-Fi/cellular to enter ballot tallies (laptop, smartphone, etc.); and,
- Printer (for printing RLA materials if unable to print materials prior to beginning the RLA).

4. The Ballot-Polling Method of the Risk-Limiting Audit

4.1 Overview

Once a locality is selected for an RLA or the electoral board has requested an RLA, it must do the following:

- Notify the public and participants identified in sections 3.2 and 3.4 of this manual;
- Submit an ELECT 659-Request to Inspect Sealed Election Material form;
- Create and upload the ballot manifest; and,
- Gather supplies.

For the purpose of this manual, a batch is a documented set of ballots created by a voting system, an officer of election, or other election official.


4.2 Submitting a Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a Post-Election Audit

Pursuant to Va. Code § 24.2-659, a locality must receive permission from the ELECT to unseal ballots cast on Election Day for a post-election audit. Localities are required to submit a Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a Post-Election Audit to obtain permission. The form is submitted to ELECT for signature authorization and then presented to the Clerk of the Circuit Court to access ballots from the election. This form may be found in FormsWarehouse under the RLA section.

In the case of a statewide RLA or an RLA that includes multiple localities, ELECT may issue a general letter instead of a Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a Post-Election Audit at the discretion of ELECT staff.

4.3 Creating a Ballot Manifest

A *ballot manifest* is a two-column Excel spreadsheet created by localities that includes a list of the "Batch Name" (Column A) and the "Number of Ballots" (Column B).



The ballot manifest creates an inventory of every ballot cast in a locality. Depending on the size of the locality, a ballot manifest should take one hour or less to complete. As a best practice, localities are encouraged to build their ballot manifest as they go. To select random ballots or batches, *all* participating localities must upload a ballot manifest before the audit can begin.





Note about Performing Both a Ballot-polling RLA and a Batch-comparison RLA In the event that your locality has to perform *both* a ballot polling RLA and a batch comparison RLA, pay attention to the design of your ballot manifest for each method. While the ballot manifest for one may be used for the other, the batches listed in the ballot manifest *must* be the same as your precincts. For example, if 100 ballots were cast in Precinct 1, then Batch 1 would have 100 ballots. This is not only for consistency but also so that the candidate by batch file matches with the ballot manifest for a batch comparison method RLA, discussed in 5.2.2 Creating a Candidate Total by Batch File. You may also choose to create two separate ballot manifests for each separate method for greater ease in locating ballots.

Batch information can be found on Ballot Record Reports or Label #3. ELECT encourages localities to have discussions with their Clerk of Court prior to the election. During these conversations, localities should work with their Clerk of Court to discuss storage limitations, the location of the RLA, and chain of custody concerns.¹⁸

The number of ballots in each batch should be verified independently of the tabulator. The information to create a ballot manifest may be found in the following places:

- Ballot Record Reports from precincts
- Inventory the ballot boxes at the Clerk of Court. Label #3 should contain the information needed.






- Electronic Pollbook Data
 - Reminder: Check Incident Reports or Officer of Election notes for occurrences of failing to check-in voters. This will allow the EPB number to be increased to the correct number.)

¹⁸ See Democracy Fund, Knowing It's Right, Part Three, Planning and Conducting a Risk-Limiting Audit Pilot, Jennifer Morrell, June 2020.

- VERIS data from the Final Absentee Report
- Calculating the Number of Ballots in a container by weight.
 - If the number of ballots in a specific container is unknown, a locality can perform a simple equation to approximate the number of ballots in each box. To do this, each container box must be weighed. The weight of each box is added together. The total of this sum is then divided by the total number of ballots cast. The result of this equation is then multiplied by the weight of the corresponding box to get the number of ballots (see graphic).

Calculating Ballots by Weight



Total Weight = 77lbs Total ballots from vote history/credit = 27,634 Box 2 = 30lb * 358.88 = <math>10766


Box 1 = 25lb * 358.88= 8972

Box 3 = 22lb * 358.88 = (7895)

27634/77 = 358.88

Helpful Tips and Reminders:

- All types of ballots are included (in-person, mail-in, provisional, etc.) in the manifest.
- Localities may label the "Batch Name" in any way that helps keep them organized (example: Precinct 101, Box 26, Container 8, etc.). Batch names are unique to each locality.
- Boxes/Containers/Envelopes that contain large amounts of ballots may be grouped into smaller units to ease the physical challenges of conducting the RLA, if it is reflected in the batch name (example of "batch names": Precinct 101 Unit 1; Box 26 Unit 2; Container 8 Unit 3 etc.).



	А	В
1	Batch Name	Number of Ballots
2	Precinct 1, Batch 1	200
3	Precinct 1, Batch 2	200
4	Precinct 1. Batch 3	71

- Keep the spreadsheet simple; avoid color coding and bold, so the ballot auditing software can read it.
- Run a quick equation in Excel to check that all ballots have been accounted for in a locality. After performing this check, make sure to **delete** this sum before uploading the manifest into the RLA software.
- Build a ballot manifest as the ballots are being stored after an election in preparation for future RLAs.
- ELECT staff are available to troubleshoot specific challenges and provide support.

4.4 Uploading a Ballot Manifest


Once the ballot manifest is created, it is saved as a .csv file (CSV UTF-8, CSV (Macintosh), and CSV (MS-DOS) are all acceptable) and uploaded into the auditing software. The RLA software lets participants know if a mistake has been made when creating or uploading the manifest by generating an error message. Mistakes may include: empty rows, incorrect file formats (the manifest must be saved as a .csv file), or ballot totals in any rows or columns. All ballot manifests must be submitted by all participating localities to start the RLA.

Once the software receives all the ballot manifests, it will compile them into one large ballot list. This master list will be used to select random ballots across participating localities. Ballot manifests must be uploaded no later than the Thursday after the SBE's random draw. Once all ballot manifests are uploaded, the RLA can begin. Detailed instructions on how to upload a ballot manifest into the current auditing software are listed below:


- 1. Using Google Chrome or Safari as your browser, go to this link: https://arlo.voting.works
- 2. Click Log in to your audit.




- 3. Enter your email address. Check your email for a new email with the subject.
- 4. "Welcome to Arlo Use the Code in this Email to Log In" enter the verification code.



5. Click on the button with the locality name.



6. Click the Browse button and navigate to the ballot manifest .csv file that was created. Once selected, click Upload File.



7. When the upload is successful, a message will appear at the top of the screen that says, "files successfully uploaded." If a mistake is found after a ballot manifest has been uploaded, participants have the option to log back in and use the **Replace File** button to update the file or delete the file by clicking the **Delete File** button.





8. Once the steps for uploading your ballot manifest have been completed, log out before exiting the screen.


4.5 The Public Meeting

4.5.1 Ballot Retrieval Process

4.5.1.1 Log In to RLA Software

Once the list of ballots to be audited has been generated by the RLA software, participants will log into the RLA software to review the list of ballots they must retrieve to complete the RLA.

- 1. Using Google Chrome or Safari as your browser, go to this link: https://arlo.voting.works
- 2. Click Log in to your RLA.
- 3. Enter email address.
- 4. Check your email for a new email with the subject "Welcome to Arlo Use the Code in this Email to Log In" enter the verification code.




5. Click on the button with the locality name.

Sample County


4.5.1.2 Audit Boards

The RLA software will ask how many audit boards will be participating in the RLA.



4.5.1.3 Download and Print

The RLA software will sort ballot retrieval lists, placeholder sheets, ballot labels, and audit board credentials for every audit board. Print each item for each audit board.




• **Ballot Retrieval List:** A ballot retrieval list is a list of ballots to be retrieved by a locality. The "Batch Name" will be the naming convention used in the ballot manifest. Since the selection is random, precincts may have multiple ballots to be retrieved, and some precincts may have none.

	A	В
1	Batch Name	Ballot Number
2	Precinct 1	90
3	Precinct 2	2
4	Precinct 2	197
5	Precinct 4	27
6	Precinct 5	313
7	Precinct 5	578
8	Precinct 5	587


- The ballot number reflects the numerical order of a specific ballot. To audit ballot number 90 in Precinct 1, for example, a member of the audit board must count from the top, each stored ballot until they reach the 90th ballot in the batch.
- Placeholder sheets: Placeholder sheets are individual sheets that contain each batch name and number (ex. Precinct 1, Ballot Number 90). They come in the form of a PDF and are printed on brightly colored paper. These sheets will be used to mark the place of the ballot that is being retrieved in the stack of ballots.
- **Ballot Labels:** Ballot Labels should be placed on ballots when retrieved. A bright-colored, removable label is recommended but not required.
- Audit Board Credentials for Data: The RLA software will create a username and
 password for the audit board members. The link at the bottom of the audit board
 credentials or QR code will direct audit board members to the site to enter the ballot
 totals.

4.5.2 Retrieving and Tallying Ballots

- 1. Review the list of ballots and retrieve the necessary containers.
- 2. Perform any change of custody that may be required by the Clerk of Court. The chain of custody needs to be documented. ELECT has a sample form that may be used to document the chain of custody, or a locality may design its own form.
- 3. Remove ballots from the box and place them in a neat stack.
- 4. Review the Ballot Retrieval List to determine which ballot to select.
- 5. Starting at the top of the stack, count ballots until the desired ballot is reached.



- 6. Once the ballot needed to be retrieved is reached, pull it out of the stack and insert the placeholder sheet in the stack to mark its position. Place the removable label on the ballot. The placeholder sheets and ballot labels are generated by the RLA software.
- 7. Once all ballots have been pulled from the containers, the audit board will use the procedures established by the SBE for conducting hand counts of ballots. ¹⁹ These procedures are outlined in FormsWarehouse under Election Management, Election Day Instructions and Forms, and Virginia's Guide to Hand-Counting Ballots. Include the batch name, ballot number, and the vote on the tally sheet. ELECT has sample tally sheets available for use during an RLA, but a locality may choose to create its own.



¹⁹ See ELECT, Commonwealth of Virginia, Hand Counting Guide.



What happens if an audit review board cannot agree on how to process a ballot? When an audit review board cannot ascertain or agree to the voter's intent on the RLA contest, the audit review team should alert the electoral board for further review. The ballot should be set aside until the electoral board is able to review the ballot. The electoral board will review the ballot pursuant to Virginia's Guide to Hand counting Ballots and make a final decision regarding the voter's intent.

- 8. Localities may return ballot(s) to the appropriate container(s) or keep all the ballots from the RLA in a designated envelope/container.
- 9. The containers should be resealed and returned to the Clerk of the Circuit Courts following the Chain of Custody procedures.

4.5.2.1 Alternative Methods to "Counting Down the Stack"

If a locality has a batch that is too large to locate a single ballot efficiently by counting down the stack of ballots, the locality may perform the **K-Cut Method** to locate the ballot or utilize a **Commercial Scale.** The methods are explained in more detail below:

K-Cut Method

To make a k-cut of a given stack of ballots:

- Cut the stack into two parts at random, designating a "top" part and a "bottom" part.
- Switch the order of the parts by moving the "bottom" part to the top and the "top" part to the bottom.
- The two parts are placed together.
- Cut the stack at least five times.
- The ballot at the top of the new stack is selected.²⁰

A locality must perform a k-cut five times before selecting the top ballot. A random number must be used to dictate the percentage of the cut. One way to randomize the percentage of the cut is to utilize a random number generator set to 1-100.²¹ The number generated then becomes the percentage of the cut (*example* 23=23% of the stack is moved to the bottom.) After performing the cut five times the top ballot becomes the retrieved ballot. The k-cut method is repeated for each random ballot that must be extracted from the stack of ballots. The Department's k-cut method demonstration video is available on FormsWarehouse.²²

Commercial Scale

Localities may utilize a commercial scale to locate a ballot. Using a ballot scale is highly accurate, saves time, and places less stress on a locality's audit board. By calculating the average weight of the ballots, a scale can easily identify the corresponding ballot in a ballot

²⁰ See k-Cut: A Simple Approximately-Uniform Method for Sampling Ballots in Post-Election Audits, Mayuri Sridhar and Ronald L. Rivest, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

²¹ See Sample Random Number Generator

²² See FormsWarehouse, Virginia Dept. of Elections: Risk Limiting Audit.

retrieval list.²³¹⁹ The Department's scale method demonstration video is available on FormsWarehouse > Risk Limiting Audit.

Helpful Tips and Reminders:

- ELECT has a scale that can be loaned to a locality. Localities may send an email to their Elections and Registration Specialist to request the use of the scale.
- ELECT has sample Chain of Custody forms and Tally Sheets available for use in FormsWarehouse.

4.6 Ballot Entry into the RLA Software


The link and/or QR code found at the bottom of the audit board credentials will take audit board members to the data entry portal to input the results of the RLA. A device with a Wi-Fi connection is needed to record the paper tally sheet. This may include a laptop or a smartphone. If using a smartphone, scan the QR code or type in the URL into the web browser.




1. The audit board members will be prompted to sign in. The audit board will see the list of ballots. Audit board members will "key in" results from the list of ballots. If a locality has 22 ballots to retrieve, there will be 22 separate fields to record each ballot. ELECT recommends that both audit board members participate with one audit board member reading the results and one audit board member entering the results. Audit board members must <u>both</u> agree on the results of each ballot.

²³ See Weight, Weight, Don't Tell Me: Using Scales to Select Ballots for Auditing, Cynthia Sturton, Eric Recorla, and David Wanger, University California Berkeley

2. Click the Audit First Ballot or Audit Ballot button to begin.



- 3. Go through the ballots in order.
- 4. There is a Ballot Card Data Entry screen for each ballot. Under Audit Board Selections the batch name and ballot number of the ballot being audited is listed. If the ballot has not been retrieved, use the "Ballot Not Found" button. Use the "All Ballots" button to return to the full list of ballots selected for audit. Select the candidate marked on the ballot, both audit board members need to agree with the results. Click Review. Verify accuracy before clicking **Submit & Next Ballot** button.



5. Select the candidate(s) marked on the ballot. Both audit board members should agree. Then select Submit Selections.

Overvote - select each candidate marked by the voter


Contest not voted - select the Blank Vote button

Contest not on ballot - select Not on Ballot button


Invalid Write-In - select Invalid Write-In (if a contest has valid write-in candidates, a Write-In button will be listed with contest choices)

After each ballot, the audit review board will be prompted to confirm the ballot selections. After confirmation, go to the next ballot.

6. When all ballots have been inputted, audit boards should return to the audit overview screen to verify every ballot has been entered into the audit software. Once everything has been verified, click the "Auditing Complete-Submit Results" button.



7. Audit board members will sign off. Names must be keyed in exactly how the audit board member signed in. For example, if the caps lock was on when the audit board member signed in, then the caps lock must be on when the audit board member signs out.




4.7 Completion of the Ballot-Polling RLA

When all audit boards have completed their ballot entry and the risk limit has been met, the

Audit Progress screen will display the message "Congratulations - the audit is complete!"

If the risk limit was not met after all the ballots have been audited for the contest, the audit software will initiate another round of sampling. A new ballot retrieval list, placeholders, labels, and audit board credentials will be generated for an additional round of ballot retrieval. If more than one locality is auditing for the same office, both localities must complete before the software will determine the need for the second round and subsequently generate the new retrieval list(s)



Pursuant to § 24. 2-671.2 (I), if an RLA of a contested race escalates to a full hand count, the results of the hand count shall be used to certify the election in lieu of the tabulation of the unofficial results obtained prior to the conduct of the RLA.²⁴ If, after a certain number of rounds of RLA, the risk limit has not yet been met, Arlo will indicate that a full hand count is required if it finds the sample size needed is the same as the actual amount of ballots.

²⁴ See §24.2-671.2.

5. The Batch-comparison Method of the Risk-Limiting Audit

Once a locality has been approved for a batch-comparison RLA, it must do the following:

- Notify the public and participants identified in section 3.2 and 3.4 of this manual;
- Submit a Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a Post-Election Audit form;
- Create and upload the ballot manifest as described in section 5.2.1;
- Create and upload the candidate totals by batch file as described in 5.2.2; and,
- Gather Supplies for the RLA

5.1 Submitting a Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a Post-Election Audit

Pursuant to Va. Code § 24.2-659, a locality must receive permission from the ELECT to unseal ballots cast on Election Day for a post-election audit. Localities are required to submit a Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a Post-Election Audit form to obtain permission. Once submitted, the form is sent to ELECT for signature authorization and then presented to the Clerk of the Circuit Court to access ballots from the election. This form may be found in FormsWarehouse under the RLA section.

In the case of a statewide RLA or an RLA that includes multiple localities, a general letter may be issued instead of a Request to Inspect Sealed Election Materials for a Post-Election Audit at the discretion of ELECT staff.

5.2 Create the RLA Documents

For a batch-comparison RLA, Arlo requires two documents, a *Ballot Manifest* and a *Candidate Totals by Batch* file. Both documents are discussed below. For the purposes of this manual, a batch is a documented set of ballots created by a voting system, an officer of election, or other election official. In Virginia, a batch is the equivalent of a precinct when utilizing the batch-comparison RLA Method.

5.2.1 Creating a Ballot Manifest

The ballot manifest is an inventory of every ballot cast in a locality for a particular race. A ballot manifest is a two-column Excel spreadsheet created by localities that includes a list of the "Batch Name" (Column A) and the "Number of Ballots" (Column B). Unlike in a ballot polling ballot manifest, the batches listed in the ballot manifest for a batch comparison audit must be the size of your precincts. For example, if precinct 1 had 100 ballots cast, then precinct 1 would be entered under Column A and 100 would be entered under Column B (see below).

4	А	В	
1	Batch Name	Number of Ballots	
2	Precinct 1	301	
3	Precinct 2	302	



Note about Performing Both a Ballot-polling RLA and a Batch-comparison RLA In the event that your locality has to perform both a ballot polling RLA and a batch comparison RLA, the ballot manifest for one may be used for the other. However, in this event, the batches listed in the ballot manifest <u>must</u> be the same as your precincts. For example, if 100 ballots were cast in Precinct 1, then Batch 1 would have 100 ballots. This is not only for consistency but also so that the candidate by batch file matches with the ballot manifest for a batch comparison method RLA, discussed in 5.2.2 Creating a Candidate Totals by Batch File. You may also choose to create two separate ballot manifests for each separate method for greater ease in locating ballots.

5.2.2 Creating a Candidate Totals by Batch File

Unlike a ballot polling method RLA, a batch comparison RLA needs the number of ballots cast for each candidate from each batch. Due to various voting systems capabilities and for the purposes of uniformity across the Commonwealth, the size of a batch must be the size of a precinct as that is the only way to get the total number of ballots cast for any candidate. The total number of ballots cast for any candidate must, then, be placed into a spreadsheet called the *Candidate Totals by Batch File*, which outlines each batch of ballots and how many ballots were cast for each candidate in a particular batch.

To create a *Candidate Totals by Batch File*, open a spreadsheet program to create a simple spreadsheet.

- Column A labeled "Batch Name" is for your batch name. The batch names in column A must match the batch names in your ballot manifest.
- Additional columns should be labeled with the names of the candidates. You should use the names on the ballot. Write-ins may be lumped together unless they have reached the threshold for a write-in certification.

1	Α	В	С	D
1	Batch Name	John Doe	Jane Smith	Write-in
2	Precinct 1	100	200	1
3	Precinct 2	200	100	2

Enter the data for your locality from your statements of results. When you're finished, review the spreadsheet to ensure the total number of batches matches the number of batches in your ballot manifest and that the candidate totals are correct. Then, save the file as a .csv file. More information can be found regarding the construction of candidate totals by batch files in the Learning Management System (LMS) RLA course.


5.3 Uploading the Ballot Manifest and Candidate Totals by Batch files Once the ballot manifest and the candidate totals by batch files are created, participants will

save the ballot manifest and candidate totals by batch files as .csv files (CSV UTF-8, CSV (Macintosh) or CSV (MS-DOS) are all acceptable) and upload the spreadsheets into the auditing software. The RLA software will let participants know if a mistake has been made when creating or uploading the files by generating an error message. Mistakes can include: empty rows, incorrect file formats (the manifest must be saved as a .csv file), or ballot totals in any rows or columns. The ballot manifest and the candidate total by batch files must be uploaded no later than the Thursday after the SBE's random draw. Once the files are uploaded the RLA can begin. Detailed instructions, on how to upload a ballot manifest and the candidate totals by batch into the current auditing software, are listed below:

- Using Google Chrome or Safari as your browser, go to this link: https://arlo.voting.works
- 2. Click Log in to your audit.




- 3. Enter email address.
- 4. Check your email for a new email with the subject "Welcome to Arlo Use the Code in this Email to Log In" enter the verification code.



5. Click on the button with the locality name.




6. Click the Browse button and navigate to the ballot manifest .csv file that was created. Once selected, click Upload File.



Audit Source Data


Ballot Manifest Click "Browse" to choose the appropriate Ballot Manifest file from your computer. This file should be a comma-separated list of all the ballot boxes/containers used to store ballots for this particular election, plus a count of how many ballot cards (individual pieces of paper) are stored in each container. (Click here to view a sample file in the correct format.)

7. When the upload is successful, a message will appear at the top of the screen that says, "Ballot manifest uploaded." If a mistake is found after a ballot manifest has been uploaded, participants have the option to log back in and use the **Replace File** button to update the file.





8. Next, a prompt will appear below the Ballot Manifest section to upload the Candidate Totals by Batch. click the Browse button to navigate to the candidate totals by batch .csv file. Once selected, click the Upload File button. Remember, the batch names in the Candidate Totals by Batch file must match the batch names in the ballot manifest and the totals listed in the Candidate Totals by Batch match your statements of results.



5.4 Retrieving and Tallying

After the audit begins, the locality will receive a batch retrieval list. To retrieve batches, refer to your batch retrieval list to determine what batches are needed.



Best Practice

Unlike in the ballot polling method, Arlo does not assign the audit review boards to specific batches on the batch retrieval list. ELECT recommends assigning more experienced officers of election or staff to audit review boards who will review the larger batches on the batch retrieval list, such as the CAP.

- Retrieve the correct container(s) using the required chain of custody verification steps.
- Pull the entire batch of ballots out of the storage container and organize it into a neat stack of ballots. If the container contains more than 1000 ballots, ballots should be removed from the container and sorted into manageable stacks, leaving the rest of the ballots in the container until the previous stack is tallied.²⁵



Batch Comparison FAQ: What if a ballot does not have the race on it?

These ballots are not to be counted as part of a batch comparison RLA. Arlo and the RLA are only focused on the race being audited. Therefore, only ballots with the race on them should be audited as part of the batch comparison RLA and entered in Arlo.

This typically occurs when the batch selected is the CAP or an early voting AB batch and the locality performing the batch comparison RLA has more than one district race, for example, 2 or more Congressional Districts, House of Delegates Districts, or Virginia Senate Districts.

When auditing a batch, it is recommended that the audit review boards first sort out the ballots that do not have the audited race. For example, if a locality is auditing the race for HOD District A but also had a race for HOD District B during the same election, the audit review board should first sort out the HOD District B ballots from the ballot container since those ballots do not have the HOD District A race on them. Once separated, the audit review board should begin counting the ballots by either method described below.

The ballots that do not have the audited race should be set aside within view of the audit review board, such as in a separate stack on the table or placed back into the box after they have been separated from the ballots that do have the audited race on them. Once the audit review board has completed their tally of the ballots with the race on them, the audit review board should place all ballots back into the container(s) they came in to ensure a proper chain of custody for all ballots in the batch.

<u>These actions should only be done for a batch comparison RLA.</u> Instructions on how to handle ballots that do not have the audited race on them during a ballot polling RLA are described in the ballot polling portion of this manual, specifically Section 4.6.

²⁵ See VotingWorks, Batch Retrieval & Tally

5.4.1 Methods for Retrieving Ballots

There are two methods for retrieving and tallying during a batch comparison RLA. For larger batches, multiple audit review boards may be assigned. Audit review boards should be encouraged to prioritize accuracy over speed; it is better to have the correct count the first time, and it takes more time than to have to redo the count.

The Sort-and-Stack Method²⁶

- a. For each ballot: one audit board member picks up a single ballot from the stack and reads the vote for the contest being audited aloud, then hands the ballot to the second audit board member.
 - i. The second audit board member verifies that the vote that is on the ballot is indeed what the first audit board member read, then places the ballot in the "stack" that corresponds to the vote.
 - ii. The first audit board member should watch to make sure the ballot is placed in the correct stack.
 - iii. A stack should be created for each contest choice (including write-in), overvoted/blank/undervoted ballots, duplicated ballots, and ballots where the audit board cannot agree on the voter's intent.
- b. Count the ballots in each stack by having one member of the audit board verbally count the ballot while handing it to the other member for verification.
 - i. Count the ballots in groups of 10, stacking the groups at right angles to each other, so you can easily count the complete groups when you are done. For instance, if you have seven groups of 10 ballots each plus an extra 3 ballots, the total tally would be 73.
 - ii. Record the total tally for each candidate on the Audit Board Batch Tally Sheet.


The Hashmarking Method²⁷

- a. Prepare a Tally Sheet
 - i. For this method, a tally sheet should be prepared. The tally sheet should:
 - 1. Be organized in the same order as the ballot.
 - 2. List each contest and associated choices (including write-ins when applicable) to be audited.
 - 3. Include a space for overvotes and blank/undervotes for each contest.
 - 4. Have space for tally marks after each choice.
- b. Tally the Votes: One audit board member reads the choice for the first contest being audited to the second audit board member who makes a tally mark on the tally sheet. Repeat for all contests being audited. Ideally, a third audit board member should observe the ballot marks and tally sheet tallies.

²⁶ Id

²⁷ Id.

- i. Completing this process in groups of 20, 50, 100, or some other set number allows for verification checks throughout the process. Once the set number is completed, add up the tally marks to ensure the same number was tallied for each contest.
- c. Complete Audit Board Batch Tally Sheet: When all ballots are tallied, total the tally marks and complete the Audit Board Batch Tally Sheet.




5.5 Batch Tally Entry into Arlo

All tallies from the batches selected must be uploaded into Arlo; the audit will remain open until all tallies have been uploaded. Tallies can be entered by audit boards, a specified member of the staff, an electoral board member, or the GR.

To create tally entry accounts for audit boards or for a specified individual, select Set Up Tally Entry Accounts on the Set Up Tally Entry Accounts screen. If only one designated participant will be entering tallies, select *Skip*.²⁸


40

²⁸ See VotingWorks, Batch Tally Entry into Arlo, Voting Works



A designated participant should provide the audit boards or the specified individual with the login link displayed on the screen (each link is unique). Then, the audit board or specified individual should Log In by entering their name(s) and party affiliation, if provided. A login code will be provided, the participants enter that code to authenticate the Tally Entry User(s) by selecting Enter Login Code, entering the code, and selecting Confirm to complete the login

process.²⁹



Samula Courty — Batch inventory test

Tally Entry Login

Name

Party Attitution of received:




Tally Entry User

To enter the candidate totals for a batch, select the batch from the list on the left-hand side of the Enter Tallies screen or use the search batches function, and, then, click Edit Tallies, enter the vote totals from the Batch Tally Sheet, and select Save Results.³⁰ Once all batches have been entered and reviewed, a designated participant can select Finalize Tallies.²⁷

²⁹ Id.

³⁰ Id.




If multiple Audit Board Batch Tally Sheets were used to tally a batch, select the drop-down next to Edit Tallies and select Use Multiple Tally Sheets to enter more than one tally sheet. The individual who enters the tally sheet should edit the name at the top to identify who completed the entry of the Batch Tally Sheet; this helps keep a chain of custody for the data entered. Next, enter the votes, and select Save Sheet (the Edit Tallies button changes to Save Sheet after selection). Select Sheet 2 to add another Batch Tally Sheet, and Select +Add Sheet to add another Tally Sheet as needed. The Vote Totals tab is the sum of votes for all sheets entered.

³¹ *Id*.

³² Id.


³³ Id.



5.6 Completion of a Batch-comparison RLA

When all audit boards have completed their tally entry and the risk limit has been met, the *Audit Progress* screen will display the message "Congratulations - the audit is complete!"

If the risk limit was not met after all the batches have been audited for the contest, the audit software will initiate another round of sampling. A new batch retrieval list, placeholders, labels, and audit board credentials will be generated for an additional round of batch retrieval.



Jurisdiction Manager

Pursuant to § 24.2-671.2(I), if an RLA of a contested race escalates to a full hand count, the

results of the hand count shall be used to certify the election in lieu of the tabulation of the unofficial results obtained prior to the conduct of the RLA.³⁴ After a certain number of rounds of RLA and the risk limit has yet to be met, Arlo will indicate that a full hand count is required if it finds the sample size needed is the same as the actual amount of ballots.

6. Results

At the conclusion of the RLA, ELECT will submit a report to the SBE on the findings of the RLA. If your locality is participating in an RLA and would like to provide feedback to be included in the report, contact your RLA Administrator from ELECT with your findings. The report will include the results of the RLA as well as an analysis of any detected discrepancies. ELECT has also created a sample press release template that localities may utilize, which can be found in the RLA section in FormsWarehouse.

³⁴ See §24.2-671.2.

7. APPENDIX

7.1 General Timeline for a Risk-Limiting Audit after a General Election

